Application No. Applicant(s) 10/076.113 URABE ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 3629 Matthew S. Meyers All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Matthew S. Meyers. (2) Ed Wise . Date of Interview: 8/8/07. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) ■ applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1,14 and 27. Identification of prior art discussed: Jackson. Agreement with respect to the claims f was reached. g was not reached. f N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _____. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413)

Application No.

Addressed 112 issues, Addressed claim language, agreed to change which to "configured to..." for functional language. Went over inventive concepts of application, 1) automatic deletion, and duplication, and 2) 3rd party accessibility.

As amended, claims are considered allowable over current prior art.